REMARKS

The Office Action only raised issues under 35 U.S.C. §112 on our current claims.

Applicant wishes to thank the Examiner for the courtesy of pointing out the apparent inconsistencies with respect to our independent Claims 1, 16 and 25, and our specification and drawings.

The Examiner had indicated on Page 3 that he interpreted a plurality of pads arranged on a substrate in a matrix and between each of the plurality of pairs of pads, in column and row directions, is a different one of the plurality of semiconductor blue-light emitting elements.

Applicant appreciates the Examiner's comments and accordingly, the proposed amendments to the claims are believed to more than adequately address the issues raised by the Examiner and to moot the rejection of Claims 1, 4-10 and 16-27.

Since prior art was not applied, it is believed that the amended claims do not raise any new issues and is more than adequately supported by our current specification and drawings, and should constitute patentable subject matter in accordance with the Remarks that had previously set forth with regards to the previous cited references.

In summary, applicant has met the requirements of 37 CFR §1.116 in limiting matters for purposes of appeal and in placing this case in condition for allowance. If the Examiner believes a telephone interview will help further the prosecution of this case, the undersigned attorney can be contacted at the below listed telephone number.

Very truly yours,

SNELL & WILMER L.L.P.

Joseph W. Price

Registration No. 25,124

600 Anton Boulevard, Suite 1400 Costa Mesa, CA 92626

Telephone: (714) 427-7420 Facsimile: (714) 427-7799

1 desimile: (/1.) (2, ////